

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:47 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Bpc slides

<http://bipartisanpolicy.org/sites/default/files/images/Energy12-111.PNG>

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 11:31 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: Greens fret over Landrieu rise at energy panel

From: POLITICO Pro <politicoemail@politicopro.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 6:07:47 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: Greens fret over Landrieu rise at energy panel

Greens fret over Landrieu rise at energy panel

By Andrew Restuccia and Darren Goode

12/19/13 6:03 PM EST

Environmental groups are shuddering at the prospect of Sen. Mary Landrieu ascending to the top of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee.

When it comes to energy and environmental issues, the Louisiana Democrat is more closely aligned with the oil industry than the tree huggers.

She has used her senior position on the committee to fight to funnel more revenue from offshore drilling to her state and to ensure drilling permits get processed quickly. And if she takes over the chairmanship of the panel from Sen. Ron Wyden (D-Ore.), green groups worry she's likely to push that agenda even further.

"It's just hard to be optimistic about the direction of the committee if it goes from Wyden to Landrieu," one official at a major environmental group said. "That would be the big fear for us is that that committee would be very, very narrowly focused just on oil and gas."

Landrieu has long been in line to take over from Wyden upon Sen. Max Baucus (D-Mont.) retirement in 2014 — assuming that she wins her high-profile reelection bid. But the news Wednesday that Baucus will be nominated as ambassador to China means Landrieu will likely climb to the highest ranks of the committee ahead of schedule.

Wyden is expected to take over for Baucus as chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, leaving the ENR spot open for Landrieu, the next most senior Democrat after Sen. Tim Johnson, who told POLITICO Thursday he will probably not leave his chairmanship of the Banking Committee.

Landrieu would become the first Louisianian to head the energy panel since former Democratic Sen. Bennett Johnston gave up the mantle when Republicans took control in 1995.

On Thursday, Johnston described her likely early ascension to the panel's chair as "a real blockbuster," adding, "I'm just amazed."

He said the chairman of the energy panel "particularly for Louisiana is just the best chairmanship that you can get because the state is so dependent on energy."

"People in Louisiana understand where the bread is buttered," he said. "This is a big, huge loaf of bread with a big slice of butter just melting on top."

For now, Wyden, Baucus and Landrieu aren't saying much about their future plans. But environmental groups are already cringing over a future Landrieu energy committee reign.

Landrieu won the respect of many environmental groups for sponsoring the RESTORE Act, which was signed into law in 2012 and funnels 80 percent of the fines stemming from the 2010 BP oil spill to Gulf States for coastal restoration. But she has a modest 49 percent lifetime score on the League of Conservation Voter's scorecard, a long-time barometer of a lawmaker's green cred.

In recent years, Landrieu has voted in favor of measures to approve the Keystone XL oil pipeline, dramatically expand offshore drilling and limit EPA's authority to tackle climate change. And she has voted against measures to eliminate some of the oil industry's tax breaks.

"While we applaud her leadership on the RESTORE Act, Sen. Landrieu has the lowest LCV lifetime score of any Democrat in the Senate at just 49 percent, and she has repeatedly voted to permanently block EPA from protecting public health by cutting carbon pollution from power plants," said Tiernan Sittenfeld, senior vice president for government affairs at LCV.

Environmental groups may be groaning over the possibility of a Landrieu chairmanship, but the move is probably a good thing for the Democratic Party, which needs her to win reelection next year in order to help maintain control of the Senate.

"It's quite the conundrum for the Democrats," the environmental group official said. "They need her to hold onto the Senate, but what price are they going to pay when it comes to energy and environmental issues?"

Landrieu can use her committee chairmanship to score political points in her home state, the third-biggest energy producer in the country, according to EIA data.

Her chief Republican challenger, Rep. Bill Cassidy, is already seeking to counter her pro-oil image.

Cassidy campaign manager Joel DiGrado said in an email that Landrieu has donated "thousands

to anti-oil and gas politicians, most recently Ed Markey and also Barbara Boxer and Ken Salazar.”

“She routinely votes for appointees or policies which are harmful to the oil and gas industry,” he said. The latest example, DiGrado said, was her support for Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid’s use of the “nuclear option” to streamline votes on executive branch nominations, including those for the D.C. Circuit Court, “a move seen as paving the way for EPA regulation of carbon to fend off constitutional challenges.”

His campaign has also noted that some fixtures in the state’s oil and gas industry that supported Landrieu in the past are supporting Cassidy this time.

Don Briggs, the head of the Louisiana Oil and Gas Association, is a personal friend of Cassidy’s and attended a recent fundraiser for him. He has personally donated campaign funds for Cassidy, and while he has also donated in past years to Landrieu, he will not this time.

But Briggs did praise Landrieu as a friend of oil and gas.

“She’s done a great job when it comes to the energy issues for our state. I’ll never back down on that statement,” he said.

He also opined that she and Cassidy don’t differ much on energy policy.

“When it comes to energy issues, I think the two of them would vote on most issues the same,” he said. And the issues where they differ are “nothing major,” he said.

Indeed, oil and gas interests had already loaded up her coffers even before it became clear she’d hold the mantle of the energy panel at least temporarily.

“Landrieu’s ascension into the chairmanship of ENR would be a huge win for Louisiana. As the oil and gas industry is vital to the economic vitality of Louisiana, her move would be a net win for that sector as well,” said Stephen Brown, a vice president and counsel at Tesoro. “Less apparent, but perhaps more important to the industry, would be the strength of her voice within Democratic leadership in guiding how that collective thinks and talks about energy issues writ large.”

One of the early issues Landrieu would seem likely to pursue as Energy chairwoman is expanding the percentage of revenue from offshore oil and natural gas production that is funneled to coastal states. In 2006, Landrieu successfully fought to get legislation signed into law that shares some revenue from offshore drilling with coastal states. And she has spent years continuing to fight for more, introducing a bill with Sen. Lisa Murkowski (R-Alaska) on the issue earlier this year.

But Landrieu’s quest for higher revenue sharing hit a brick wall when Sen. Jeff Bingaman (D-N.M.), a vocal opponent of the proposal, was chairman. Long-sought offshore drilling safety legislation stalled in the committee in July 2011 amid a fight over revenue sharing.

Despite their differences on some issues, Bingaman, always measured and soft-spoken, had only good things to say about Landrieu.

“I think she’s very determined on the issues she thinks need to be addressed and I think she’s had a good record of success in getting things done there in the Senate. I think that bodes well for her tenure,” Bingaman, who left the Senate in 2013, told POLITICO. He added that Landrieu “obviously has the right qualifications to be a very effective” chairwoman.

Other observers said they are confident that Landrieu’s focus won’t be limited just to oil and gas.

“There is no doubt that if she becomes chair of [the committee], Sen. Landrieu would aggressively represent the interests of her oil and gas constituency, but Sen. Landrieu also has demonstrated a consistent commitment to renewable energy and conservation, and I would expect her to continue to promote a balanced energy and conservation agenda,” former Interior Department Deputy Secretary David Hayes said in an email.

To view online:

<https://www.politicopro.com/go/?id=29155>

You've received this POLITICO Pro content because your customized settings include:

Energy: Receive All Energy Articles. To change your alert settings, please go to

<https://www.politicopro.com/member/?webaction=viewAlerts>.

This email alert has been sent for the exclusive use of POLITICO Pro subscriber Michael Goo. Forwarding or reproducing the alert without the express, written permission of POLITICO Pro is a violation of federal law and the POLITICO Pro subscription agreement. Copyright © 2013 by POLITICO LLC. To subscribe to Pro, please go to www.politicopro.com.

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Sunday, November 17, 2013 12:57 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

From: Schmidt, Lorie
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 12:59:54 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: Re: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Saturday, November 16, 2013 11:31:28 AM
To: Schmidt, Lorie
Subject: Fw: E and C letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Michael Goo Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:18:25 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: E and C letter

From: Energy and Commerce News [<mailto:EnergyandCommerceNews@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 4:14 PM
Subject: Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act



COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

Chairman Fred Upton
113th Congress

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

CONTACT: Press Office

November 15, 2013

(202) 226-4972

Committee Leaders Request Withdrawal of EPA's Proposed Power Plant Standards Citing Prohibition Under Energy Policy Act

"...EPA's consideration of CCPI projects to determine that CCS for power plants is 'adequately demonstrated' is prohibited."

WASHINGTON, DC – House Energy and Commerce Committee leaders today wrote to Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy expressing concerns regarding EPA's proposed greenhouse gas New Source Performance Standards for new power plants that would require the installation of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies that are not commercially viable. The members believe the proposed standards go beyond the scope of the EPA's legal authority and are requesting the proposed rule be withdrawn.

EPA is proposing standards pursuant to Section 111 of the Clean Air Act, which provides that emissions standards must be achievable using "adequately demonstrated" technologies. EPA maintains that CCS technologies for coal-fired power plants have been "adequately demonstrated" based on three government-funded projects under construction or planned that are receiving assistance under the Department of Energy's Clean Coal Power Initiative (CCPI) and one other small-scale Canadian government-funded project. EPA Acting Assistant Administrator Janet McCabe confirmed the agency uses these projects as the basis for its determination during questioning at yesterday's Energy and Power Subcommittee hearing. **While EPA is using these projects to justify its proposed standards, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 prohibits EPA from considering technology used at CCPI projects as being**

“adequately demonstrated” for purposes of Section 111 of the Clean Air Act. Based on these facts, the committee leaders concluded, “Under these provisions of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, EPA’s consideration of CCPI projects to determine that CCS for coal-fired power plants is ‘adequately demonstrated’ is prohibited.”

In the [letter](#) to McCarthy, House Energy and Commerce Committee Chairman Fred Upton (R-MI), Chairman Emeritus Joe Barton (R-TX), Energy and Power Subcommittee Chairman Ed Whitfield (R-KY), and subcommittee Vice Chairman Steve Scalise (R-LA) wrote, “In light of these statutory prohibitions, we request that the EPA’s proposed rule, which has not yet been published in the Federal Register, be withdrawn. This will ensure that the agency does not propose standards beyond its legal authority. This will also ensure that stakeholders and the public will not have to incur additional costs to respond to a proposal that contravenes applicable law.”

For a full copy of the letter to EPA, click [HERE](#).

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 11:03 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Subject: Fw: Republicans prod EPA's McCarthy on Obamacare

From: POLITICO Pro <politicoemail@politicopro.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 5:43:38 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: Republicans prod EPA's McCarthy on Obamacare

Republicans prod EPA's McCarthy on Obamacare

By Darren Goode

11/14/13 5:41 PM EST

Among the probing questions put to EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy at a House Science Committee hearing Thursday about how the agency justifies its pollution regulations, it's doubtful she was expecting to be interrogated on whether she had enrolled in Obamacare.

But that's exactly what was posed to her by Rep. Paul Broun (R-Ga.) , providing perhaps the reddest of meat for Republicans who are seemingly using every opportunity to poke at the foibles of the Obama administration's implementation of the major update in health coverage.

"Let me ask you one more question because my time's running out. Are you signed up for Obamacare?" Broun asked.

"No, I am not," McCarthy responded.

"Why not?" Broun asked.

"Well, because I'm lucky enough as a federal government [employee] that I have health care available to me, which I've signed up for. In a few years, when that's not the case, I'll be happy to have other available health care opportunities..." she began answering.

Broun interjected, "Well, the president says that Obamacare is much better than forcing most federal employees into Obamacare and obviously, if you are not signing up, you don't think it is. Mr. Chairman, I've run out of time. I yield back."

Rep. Steve Stockman (R-Texas) later at the hearing — which was billed as an effort at

“strengthening transparency and accountability” at the EPA — praised McCarthy for giving him “my favorite tweet of the day which I’m going to send out.”

That tweet — which Stockman did indeed send out — inaccurately portrays McCarthy as saying she is “lucky enough not to have to” sign up for Obamacare.

“That’s wonderful. I wish my constituents could say the same,” he said at the hearing.

While McCarthy reiterated to Stockman that she was saying she was lucky enough to have access to good health care, Stockman responded, “I still will take your quote for the record. I wrote it down, it’s really good.”

While not exactly accurate, Republicans did what they set out to do. And McCarthy couldn’t help but chuckle as she pivoted away from Broun to a far friendlier panel member, Rep. Joe Kennedy (D-Mass.), who even praised McCarthy’s hometown Boston Red Sox.

“Go Sox,” McCarthy responded with a genuine smile.

To view online:

<https://www.politicopro.com/go/?id=28001>

You've received this POLITICO Pro content because your customized settings include:

Energy: Receive All Energy Articles. To change your alert settings, please go to

<https://www.politicopro.com/member/?webaction=viewAlerts>.

This email alert has been sent for the exclusive use of POLITICO Pro subscriber Michael Goo. Forwarding or reproducing the alert without the express, written permission of POLITICO Pro is a violation of federal law and the POLITICO Pro subscription agreement. Copyright © 2013 by POLITICO LLC. To subscribe to Pro, please go to www.politicopro.com.

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2013 10:36 AM
To: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Subject: Fw: SEEC Daily Clips 11.19.13

From: Allen, Kara <Kara.Allen@mail.house.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2013 9:46:12 AM
To: Allen, Kara
Subject: SEEC Daily Clips 11.19.13

Sustainable Energy & Environment Coalition

November 19, 2013

- - -

<http://seec-israel.house.gov/>

Top news stories:

House To Vote On Bill That Would Impose \$5,000 Fee For Protesting Drilling Projects (ThinkProgress)

The bill, introduced by Rep. Doug Lamborn (R-CO), is broad legislation designed to make it much easier for oil and gas companies to obtain permission to drill on public lands. If signed into law, the legislation would automatically approve onshore drilling permits if the U.S. Department of Interior (DOI) failed to act on them in 60 days.

White House waves veto pen at GOP 'fracking,' energy bills (The Hill)

The White House is threatening to veto House GOP bills that would thwart Interior Department hydraulic fracturing rules and force regulators to offer more federal lands for oil-and-gas drilling. In a pair of statements Tuesday morning, the White House slammed two GOP energy bills slated for votes this week, arguing that they would dismantle important environmental protections.

Colorado proposes reducing methane leaks from energy production (Los Angeles Times)

Colorado proposed new rules Monday to reduce methane leaks from oil and gas operations, the first effort in the country to address emissions of the greenhouse gas that is a byproduct of the domestic fossil fuel boom.

Broomfield fracking ban: Results flip; measure approved by 17 votes after outstanding (Denver Post)

The results of Broomfield's anti-fracking measure -- which appeared to have failed by a slim margin on Election Day -- flipped late Thursday night, but the five-year hydraulic fracturing ban's approval was so narrow that a recount is mandatory.

Nuke agency resumes Yucca Mountain review (The Hill)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has ordered its staff to get back to work finalizing a review of a controversial nuclear waste dump in Nevada. On Monday, the agency announced that it had restarted work on a safety evaluation report for the Yucca Mountain site and also asked the Energy Department to prepare an additional environmental impact statement.

Energy news:

California and Illinois release draft fracking rules, and California's are better (Grist)

A quick read of the tea leaves suggests that frackers are going to continue plundering Illinois with little thought given to environmental impacts. Frackers operating in California, however, will need to abide by some tough new regulations – but not tough enough to mollify environmentalists, who continue to call for a fracking moratorium in the Golden State.

Vitter asks OMB to detail energy revenues vs. spending, tax breaks (E&E)

Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), the ranking member on the Environment and Public Works Committee, has asked the Office of Management and Budget to calculate how much revenue the government receives from various energy sources — such as oil and gas revenues — compared to what it spends through grant programs and tax benefits to energy providers.

Hyundai announces hydrogen-powered SUV (The Hill)

Hyundai will launch sales of a Tucson SUV powered by a hydrogen fuel cell in 2014, the Associated Press reports. It will be the first mass-market vehicle powered on hydrogen to be sold or leased in the U.S. The automaker plans to announce details on the new Tucson at the Los Angeles Auto Show on Wednesday.

Energy Secretary: Oil boom won't fuel isolationism (The Hill)

Here's something rising alongside U.S. oil-and-gas production: Assurances from top U.S. officials that the country won't abandon its diplomatic and security engagement with the Middle East. Energy Secretary Ernest Moniz, in an interview with the Financial Times (sub. req'd), is the latest senior Obama administration official to make the case.

The Campaign Against Net Metering: ALEC and Utility Interests' Next Attack on Clean Energy Surfaces in Arizona (Energy and Policy Institute)

On Thursday, the Arizona Corporate Commission (ACC), the state entity responsible for regulating utilities, voted to charge ratepayers a monthly fee of 70 cents per kilowatt of solar energy installed on their roof. Arizona Public Service (APS) had proposed charging customers who install rooftop solar panels an additional \$50-100 on their monthly bills.

Could first U.S. offshore wind farm be in Texas? (E&E)

Right now the only thing marking the 41,000 acres in the Gulf of Mexico that have been leased is a buoy recording the paths of the birds and bats flying overhead. But in three years' time, a team including university professors and a former British oil executive is hoping to have the nation's first commercial

scale wind farm installed and eventually generating enough power for 1.8 million homes – at least when the wind is blowing.

U.S. Firm's \$100 Million Investment Shows How Solar Energy Is Soaring In Japan (ThinkProgress)

Last week, amid the 19th United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Japan announced it was slashing its 2020 greenhouse gas emissions reductions target from 25 percent to just 3.8 percent based on 2005 figures. This news garnered major negative attention for a country better known for being a leader in confronting climate change rather than a spoilsport.

Climate news:

After Deadly Illinois Storm, Damaged Communities Come Together (Associated Press)

The cleanup from Sunday's outbreak of tornadoes had scarcely begun, but people in storm-ravaged towns like Washington, 140 miles southwest of Chicago, had to keep moving. The tornado cut a path about an eighth of a mile wide from one side of Washington to the other and damaged or destroyed as many as 500 homes.

U.S. serious on climate, John Kerry tells U.N. summit (POLITICO)

U.S. officials tried on Monday to assure wary international negotiators who are struggling to lay the groundwork for a global climate change accord that the United States is serious about slashing its greenhouse gas emissions.

George W. Bush Says Keystone XL Pipeline A 'No-Brainer': 'Build The Damn Thing' (Huffington Post)

Former President George W. Bush has been reluctant to criticize the policies of his successor, but last week, he jumped in and spoke out against President Barack Obama's inaction on building the Keystone XL oil pipeline.

Bloomberg's Hidden Legacy: Climate Change and the Future of New York City, Part 2 (InsideClimate News)

The pace was frantic. People were exhausted and cranky. Some spent nights in their offices. At times they felt they'd never get all the work done.

Canadians losing faith in government on climate change (Toronto Star)

Canadians are losing confidence in their leaders on matters of climate change, says a new survey. Faith in government as the lead actor in addressing climate issues declined sharply to 53 per cent, down six points in the past year, says the survey released Monday.

Sardinia Storm: 'Apocalyptic' Weather Floods Italian Island, Killing 17 (Associated Press)

The Mediterranean island of Sardinia, prized by the jet-set for its white sand beaches and crystal-clear seas, was a flood-ravaged mudbath Tuesday after a freak torrential rainstorm killed at least 17 people, downed bridges and swept away cars.

Environment & Health news:

Feds push back timeline for offshore drilling rules (Fuel Fix)

The federal government is no longer on track to propose new rules for Arctic oil development and offshore emergency equipment before the end of the year.

House lawmakers demand refund for shuttered parks (The Hill)

House lawmakers are reopening the fight over the Obama administration's closing of national parks during the government shutdown. Arizona's congressional delegation is pressing the administration to pay their state for expenses from reopening national parks during the 16-day government shutdown.

Poll: Many think Obama closed parks as stunt (The Hill)

Nearly one-third of voters say President Obama closed down the national parks during the shutdown as a political stunt. That was among the findings of a new poll released on Monday that also found 57 percent of people think the parks were forced to close because Congress could not agree on a budget.

The Environmental Promise Obama Completely Abandoned (National Journal)

Before President Obama had even taken the oath of office, his administration was promising to protect the public from toxic coal waste produced by power plants. But well into the president's second term—and nearly five years after Americans learned of the dangers of coal ash after a massive spill devastated a Tennessee town—that pledge is a green dream that is at least deferred, and quite possibly dead.

Alabama rail line reopens after derailment, oil spill (Associated Press)

A railroad reopened a section of track 10 days after the fiery derailment of a train carrying crude oil in west Alabama, but state environmental officials said Monday they still don't know how much oil spilled into surrounding wetlands.

Exxon Oil Spill in Arkansas 2013: How a Pipeline Burst in Mayflower (New Republic)

Hundreds of people in this working-class town of 2,200 have complained of symptoms like Thompson's. And their maladies—respiratory disorders, nausea, fatigue, nosebleeds, bowel issues, throbbing headaches—echo the ones that appeared in Marshall, Michigan, where an Enbridge Energy pipeline burst in 2010. The two pipelines were carrying the same kind of oil: a heavy crude, or bitumen, mined in the tar sands of Alberta, Canada.

Arctic oil spill is certain if drilling goes ahead, says top scientist (Guardian)

A serious oil spill in the Arctic is a "dead cert" if drilling goes ahead, with potentially devastating consequences for the pristine region, according to a leading marine scientist who played a key role in analysis of BP's Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

Active Volcano Discovered Underneath Antarctic Ice Sheet, Confirming Long-Held Suspicions (Associated Press)

Earthquakes deep below West Antarctica reveal an active volcano hidden beneath the massive ice sheet, researchers said today (Nov. 17) in a study published in the journal Nature Geoscience.

Toys 'R' Us Keeps Airing Perhaps 'The Most Anti-Science, Anti-Environmental TV Ad (ThinkProgress)

Once upon a time Toys 'R' Us ran a Terrible, Horrible, No Good, Very Bad TV Ad. It was about how science education and field trips to nature are boring, boring, boring — especially when compared to free toys.

Logging on the rise again in the Brazilian Amazon (Grist)

Buried amid the bleak news in a forest study that we told you about last week was a glimmer of hope:

Analysis of satellite images taken from 2000 to 2012 revealed that deforestation was slowing down in Brazil.

* indicates subscription required

These news clips are intended to be informative and are provided as a courtesy to member offices of the Sustainable Energy & Environment Coalition (SEEC), and others. SEEC is a coalition of fifty-six Democratic members of the U.S. House of Representatives that was founded in January 2009 to be a focused, active, and effective coalition for advancing "policies that promote clean energy technology innovation and domestic manufacturing, develop renewable energy resources, and create green collar jobs throughout the product supply-chain, and policies to help arrest global warming and protect our nation's clean air, water and natural environment." SEEC is Co-Chaired by Reps. Steve Israel (D-NY), Paul Tonko (D-NY), and Gerald Connolly (D-VA). SEEC vice chairs are Reps. Rush Holt (D-NJ), Chellie Pingree (D-ME), Jared Polis (D-CO), and Doris Matsui (D-CA)

You are permitted to share this email with others. To unsubscribe from this distribution list reply to this email with the word "unsubscribe."

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 8, 2013 11:29 AM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: revised draft PARS narrative for Shannon- please review/edit (thank you)
Attach: Draft Justification for SK 2013 Rating v4.docx

From: Kime, Robin
Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 8:56:27 AM
To: Goo, Michael
Subject: revised draft PARS narrative for Shannon- please review/edit (thank you)

Hi,

The attached draft is based on your suggestions/notes. Just let me know where to take it from here. Thanks

From: Goo, Michael <Goo.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 11, 2013 10:19 AM
To: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Subject: Fw: Updated Resume
Attach: gooresume2013doc[1][1][1]april2013.doc

From: Goo, Michael
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2013 10:17:43 AM
To: Smith, Kelley
Cc: Monger, Jon
Subject: RE: Updated Resume

Here it is. Let me know if there is more information needed. Thanks very much.

From: Smith, Kelley
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2013 4:30 PM
To: Goo, Michael
Cc: Monger, Jon
Subject: Updated Resume

Hello Michael,

Can you please send Jon and I an updated resume that includes your current position?

Thank you,

KS

Kelley Smith

Deputy White House Liaison

Environmental Protection Agency

202.564.2308 Desk


Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Smith.Kelley@epa.gov

From: Kime.Robin@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Haircut before Thursday 2/21 (your EPA portrait)?

Forwarded by Robin Kime/DC/USEPA/US on 02/15/2013 03:46 PM -----

Portrait studio - Eric Vance



Thu 02/21/2013
10:00 AM - 10:30
AM

Chair: **Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US**

Sent **Jacqueline Poole/DC/USEPA/US**
By:

Location: 6330 ARN

Required: Eric Vance/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Description

Personal Notes



From: Karen Wayland Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 11:28 AM
To: Michael Goo Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Subject: FW: Republicans already airing qualms on McCarthy

FYI

From: Darren Samuelsohn [mailto:dsamuelsohn@politico.com]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 11:08 AM
To: Ex. 6 - Karen Wayland
Subject: Fw: Republicans already airing qualms on McCarthy

From: POLITICO Pro [mailto:politicoemail@politicopro.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 07:57 PM
To: Darren Samuelsohn
Subject: Republicans already airing qualms on McCarthy

Republicans already airing qualms on McCarthy

By Darren Goode and Darren Samuelsohn and Erica Martinson

2/14/13 7:55 PM EST

She hasn't even been nominated, but Senate Republicans are suggesting that EPA air chief Gina McCarthy might face tough scrutiny if President Barack Obama picks her to lead the agency.

"Is that really who it's going to be?" Senate Energy and Natural Resources ranking member Lisa Murkowski said after a long moan to a reporter who mentioned McCarthy's name. "That's what we're hearing, too."

The Alaska Republican is still smarting over the time she spent trying to get EPA to approve air permits for Shell's long-sought effort to drill for oil and gas in the Arctic waters off her state's coastline.

"Well, she has been very much an EPA bureaucrat and that's made it difficult on some of the

issues we work on," she said.

Murkowski said she didn't work directly with McCarthy when Shell sought air pollution permits for exploratory drilling wells at two sites in the Arctic, but that EPA's air office was so difficult on the issue that Republicans tried to advance legislation to shift oversight to the Interior Department.

But the senator didn't say she would block McCarthy's nomination, if it happens.

"No, I have to reckon with the fact that the president is going to nominate people from his party that think the way that he thinks," she told POLITICO. "And I've got to look at these folks and figure out if there's a way to work with them. I've got issues that I'm going to need some assistance from EPA on. And I just want to know that I'm going to have people who are willing to work with us and to listen."

Senate Environment and Public Works ranking member David Vitter (R-La.) said he is holding McCarthy responsible for agency silence in response to his requests for information on several issues.

"With Lisa Jackson's resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA's regulatory agenda," Vitter said.

McCarthy is "directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign," Vitter said. "The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice."

Other senior EPW Republicans declined to weigh in on McCarthy on Thursday.

Former panel Chairman Jim Inhofe (R-Okla.) asked for more time to look her up. "I prefer Lisa, though. I'll tell you that," he said.

"This is her last day. Remind me to call her one last time," Inhofe said to an aide regarding Jackson.

Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-Ala.), the newly tapped top Republican on the Clean Air and Nuclear Safety Subcommittee, said he does not have a "personal observation" of McCarthy. "I have been troubled by some of the regulatory overreach," he said. "She'd be entitled to a fair analysis of what she's done and what her program would be."

Sen. John Barrasso (R-Wyo.) was similarly noncommittal. He was the top Republican on the clean air subcommittee in the last Congress and slowed McCarthy's nomination for air chief in 2009, in anticipation of EPA's plans to regulate greenhouse gas emissions under the Clean Air Act.

"I'm going to wait and see what the president says," he said. "There's been so many different

vacancies. You have a Cabinet in chaos.”

Realistically, any candidate to head EPA won’t have an easy time, given that the agency has been a lightning rod for administration critics complaining of regulatory overreach.

Sen. Roy Blunt (R-Mo.) is reportedly considering putting a hold on the next EPA administrator nominee over a delayed flood control project in his state.

McCarthy has been long known to be on the short list of finalists to succeed Jackson, and according to sources outside the administration and Capitol Hill she has indeed been selected for the job. An announcement is expected Tuesday or Wednesday, those sources say. She could go into the confirmation process touting a bipartisan background, having worked for both former Republican Massachusetts Gov. Mitt Romney and former Connecticut Gov. Jodi Rell.

She scored heavyweight help during her 2009 confirmation hearing, with then-Sens. Ted Kennedy (D-Mass.), John Kerry (D-Mass.), Chris Dodd (D-Conn.) and Joe Lieberman (I -Conn.) all submitting testimony on her behalf.

And Environment and Public Works Chairman Barbara Boxer (D-Calif.) gave her an enthusiastic thumbs up this week as well.

“I think she’d be great,” Boxer said Thursday. “She is strong; she’s knowledgeable. There would be no transition required, and I just like Gina because she’s straight from the shoulder — good person.”

To view online:

<https://www.politicopro.com/go/?id=19260>

This email alert has been sent for the exclusive use of POLITICO Pro subscriber Darren Samuelsohn. Forwarding or reproducing the alert without the express, written permission of POLITICO Pro is a violation of federal law and the POLITICO Pro subscription agreement. You've received this POLITICO Pro content because your customized settings include: Energy: Receive All Energy Articles; Custom Author Alert: Darren Samuelsohn. To change your alert settings, please go to <https://www.politicopro.com/member/?webaction=viewAlerts>. Copyright © 2013 by POLITICO LLC. To subscribe to POLITICO Pro, please go to www.politicopro.com.

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2013 2:29 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo Ex. 6 - Debbie Reed
Subject: Fw: 3:00 PM meeting
Attach: ELG and CCR matrix.pptx

▼ Robin Kime

----- Original Message -----

From: Robin Kime
Sent: 01/10/2013 02:17 PM EST
To: Michael Goo
Subject: 3:00 PM meeting
well, is there any way you can open this attachment?

----- Forwarded by Robin Kime/DC/USEPA/US on 01/10/2013 02:17 PM -----

From: William Nickerson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Robin Kime/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/10/2013 02:05 PM
Subject: can you get this to Michael for the 3pm meeting?

(See attached file: ELG and CCR matrix.pptx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Saturday, December 15, 2012 12:26 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Subject: Fw: 2012 NSI Training - Final Notice

▼ Gloria Lewis-Seagraves

----- Original Message -----

From: Gloria Lewis-Seagraves
Sent: 12/14/2012 11:35 PM EST
To: Michael Goo; Victoria Rivas-Vazquez; Bob Sussman; John Hankinson; Patricia Porter
Cc: Gloria Lewis-Seagraves
Subject: 2012 NSI Training - Final Notice

Hello Everyone

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

If you need assistance, please contact the Agency NSI Program Team at 202-564-1983 or ProgramTeam.nsi@epa.gov. I can be reached at lewis-seagraves.gloria@epa.gov or 202-564-0432.

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 12:03 AM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: Memo, as requested
Attach: Memo ClimateEnergy Planning 11 26 12.docx

▼ Alex Barron

----- Original Message -----

From: Alex Barron
Sent: 11/26/2012 08:26 PM EST
To: Michael Goo
Cc: Robin Kime
Subject: Memo, as requested

(See attached file: Memo ClimateEnergy Planning 11 26 12.docx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Saturday, December 1, 2012 9:09 AM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: smart growth awards videos + remarks
Attach: Goo remarks (2).docx

▼ Sarah Dale

----- Original Message -----

From: Sarah Dale
Sent: 11/30/2012 07:52 PM EST
To: Michael Goo
Cc: Alex Barron; John Frece; Tim Torma; Adam Klinger
Subject: smart growth awards videos + remarks

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

(See attached file: Goo remarks (2).docx)

Portsmouth
<http://youtu.be/6bG5avoWdpY>

Denver
<http://youtu.be/EmhEGnu6wgM>

Lancaster
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pojylzK2uSM>

Brattleboro
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y9Lg4CTCsWs>

sarah dale
US environmental protection agency

direct: 202-564-6998

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

email: dale.sarah@epa.gov

From: Michael Goo <Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2012 12:40 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: Letter from Chairman Lankford

----- Forwarded by Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US on 06/26/2012 12:39 PM -----

From: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US
 To: goo.michael@epa.gov
 Cc: "Laura Vaught" <Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov>
 Date: 06/26/2012 10:43 AM
 Subject: Fw: Letter from Chairman Lankford

Goo,
 Fyi.

Sent from my Blackberry Wireless Device

▼ Tom Dickerson

----- Original Message -----

From: Tom Dickerson
Sent: 06/26/2012 10:17 AM EDT
To: Arvin Ganesan; Joyce Frank; Laura Vaught; Steven Kinberg
Subject: Fw: Letter from Chairman Lankford
 spoke too soon. they are escalating this...

Tom Dickerson
 Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
 U.S. EPA
 (202) 564-3638

----- Forwarded by Tom Dickerson/DC/USEPA/US on 06/26/2012 10:15 AM -----

From: "Moore, Kristina" <Kristina.Moore@mail.house.gov>
 To: Tom Dickerson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
 Cc: "Hixon, Christopher" <Christopher.Hixon@mail.house.gov>, "Marin, Mark" <Mark.Marin@mail.house.gov>, "Daner, Brian" <Brian.Daner@mail.house.gov>, "Brazauskas, Joseph" <Joseph.Brazauskas@mail.house.gov>, "Hambleton, Ryan" <Ryan.Hambleton@mail.house.gov>
 Date: 06/26/2012 10:10 AM
 Subject: Letter from Chairman Lankford

Tom,
 Please find attached a letter from Chairman Lankford to Administrator Jackson responding to EPA's letter sent on Friday. Please confirm receipt. We look forward to EPA's response.

Regards,
Kristina

Kristina Moore
Senior Counsel
Chairman Darrell E. Issa
Committee on Oversight and Government Reform
(See attached file: 2012-06-26 Lankford to Jackson-EPA - Hearing witness 6-28.pdf)

From: Michael Goo <Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 6:20 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: NODAs
Attach: EO12866_2040-AE95_Cooling water intake NODA 2 053112.clean.docx;
EO12866_2040-AE95_Cooling water intake NODA 1_clean_053012.docx

----- Forwarded by Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US on 05/31/2012 06:20 PM -----

From: Paul Balserak/DC/USEPA/US
To: Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/31/2012 06:13 PM
Subject: Fw: NODAs

just in case, here are both NODAs. Obv, NODA 1 is titled "NODA_1" and is the flexibility changes. NODA 2 is the stated preference and is titled "NODA_2"
Paul

(See attached file: EO12866_2040-AE95_Cooling water intake NODA 2 053112.clean.docx)(See attached file: EO12866_2040-AE95_Cooling water intake NODA 1_clean_053012.docx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 8:13 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: FOR REVIEW BEFORE NOON WEDNESDAY--VIDEOS FOR SMART GROWTH AWARDS

----- Original Message -----
From: Sarah Dale
Sent: 11/29/2011 06:45 PM EST
To: Bicky Corman; Michael Goo
Cc: John Frece; Tim Torma; Nora Johnson; Danielle Arigoni; Robin Kime
Subject: FOR REVIEW BEFORE NOON WEDNESDAY--VIDEOS FOR SMART GROWTH AWARDS

Michael and Bicky,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Thanks!
sd

El Paso, TX
<http://youtu.be/-NfqwREaTyg>

Normal, IL
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QlPJtP9IoIg&feature=youtu.be>

Howard, SD
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hYrT6Vwap-0&feature=youtu.be>

St. Louis, MO

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-itajAf6a0>

Albuquerque, NM

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jjsDRs6kuM8>

sarah dale

US environmental protection agency

direct: 202-564-6998

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

email: dale.sarah@epa.gov

From: Shannon Kenny <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy >
Sent: Saturday, July 2, 2011 9:51 AM
To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Subject: DAA
Attach: SHANNON KENNY resume dec 2010.doc

Michael * in case it helps, here is a little info on my background.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Happy fourth!

Shannon

From: Shannon Kenny <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy>
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2011 5:57 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Subject: new resume
Attach: SHANNON KENNY resume July 2011.pdf

FYI updated resume

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2011 2:06 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: Deliberative
Attach: ghg nsps insert draft 31210am.docx

From: Scott Fulton
Sent: 03/12/2011 10:45 AM EST
To: Bob Sussman; Bob Perciasepe; Michael Goo; David McIntosh
Cc: Richard Windsor; "Diane Thompson" <thompson.diane@epa.gov>; "Avi Garbow" <garbow.avi@epa.gov>; Joel Beauvais
Subject: Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

Cheers,
Scott

From: Kevin McLean
Sent: 03/12/2011 10:04 AM EST
To: Scott Fulton; Avi Garbow
Cc: Richard Ossias; Elliott Zenick; Howard Hoffman; Patricia Embrey; Peter Tsirigotis; Joseph Goffman
Subject: Draft insert for EGU NSPS proposal

Ex. 5 - Deliberative & ACP

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2011 10:18 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: EJ SCREEN info for the policy meeting tomorrow
Attach: decisions and next steps on EJ SCREEN.docx; Draft table of Demographic variables used in EJ screening tools v5.pptx; decisions and next steps on EJ SCREEN with annotations.docx

----- Original Message -----

From: William Nickerson
Sent: 02/22/2011 05:17 PM EST
To: Michael Goo
Cc: Louise Wise; Alexander Cristofaro; Lesley Schaaff; Mark Corrales
Subject: EJ SCREEN info for the policy meeting tomorrow
Michael,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

(See attached file: decisions and next steps on EJ SCREEN.docx)
(See attached file: Draft table of Demographic variables used in EJ screening tools v5.pptx)

(See attached file: decisions and next steps on EJ SCREEN with annotations.docx)

William (Bill) Nickerson
Policy and Regulatory Analysis Division
Office of Policy
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Phone: (202) 566-0326

Fax: (202) 564-7322
nickerson.william@epa.gov

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2011 10:32 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: NRDC -- this is what I have now

----- Original Message -----

From: Lorie Schmidt

Sent: 05/09/2011 09:32 PM EDT

To: Michael Goo

Subject: NRDC -- this is what I have now

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2011 10:47 AM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: PPT for words group

----- Original Message -----

From: Lorie Schmidt
Sent: 04/23/2011 09:52 PM EDT
To: Michael Goo; Alex Barron; Joseph Goffman; Joel Beauvais; Howard Hoffman; Kevin Culligan
Subject: PPT for words group
Words group members (and liaisons to numbers group):

Sending this out now may be a bad idea, but I'm tired and I don't think I'm going to work on this more before we meet on Monday.

Attached is my current, incomplete, yet incredibly lengthy draft powerpoint.

I think it can serve as the basis for discussion on Monday.

I have a feeling that if I put this down and looked at it again tomorrow, I would make changes to it before sending it out, but I'm not going to look at it tomorrow and I think it's better for you to have a chance to look at it and then we can decide what the next step is.

I've tried to put this together in the following way:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Lorie

(See attached file: PPT 4-23-11.pptx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 8:52 AM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: Revised version of EGU GHG NSPS and Emission Guidelines Preamble

----- Original Message -----

From: Paul Balserak
Sent: 08/16/2011 05:57 PM EDT
To: Alexander Cristofaro; Barry Elman; Shannon Kenny; Alex Barron; Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: Revised version of EGU GHG NSPS and Emission Guidelines Preamble
This just in!
Paul

----- Forwarded by Paul Balserak/DC/USEPA/US on 08/16/2011 05:56 PM

From: Mary Johnson/RTP/USEPA/US
To: Alex Marten/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Anne Grambsch/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Chris Frantz/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Chun-Wai Lee/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, David A Evans/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ethan Chatfield/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, Gene Stroup/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Heather Valdez/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kellie Ortega/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Larke Williams/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maria Malave/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Naisha Thaker/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Paul Balserak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Peter Nagelhout/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Stan Durkee/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Thomas Gillis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Zoltan Jung/AA/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, RobertJ Wayland/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Nick Hutson/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Christian Fellner/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 08/16/2011 05:52 PM
Subject: Revised version of EGU GHG NSPS and Emission Guidelines Preamble

All,

Attached is the revised version of the preamble. It reflects revisions made since last week's FAR meeting. Note that the preamble is undergoing editorial review so revisions editorial in nature will be made. However, we do not anticipate any substantial edits.

Thanks.

(See attached file: EGU GHG Standards Proposal FR 08-16-11_5 PM version.docx)

Mary Johnson
Environmental Engineer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
Mail Code D 243-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
Phone: (919) 541-5025
Fax: (919) 541-5450

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 9:19 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw: Talkers on air
Attach: 20100107 EEI (as prepared).doc; 20110316 Mercury Air Toxics (as prepared).docx; Talking Points.doc

----- Original Message -----

From: Brendan Gilfillan
Sent: 04/25/2011 07:18 PM EDT
To: Michael Goo; Robin Kime
Cc: Adora Andy; Michael Moats
Subject: Talkers on air

Hey -

Attached are three documents - the Administrator's remarks at the MATS rollout, her remarks at the Edison Electric Institute and a doc with topline messages on the President's energy security agenda, MATS and NSPS.

Hope these are helpful. Thanks.

(See attached file: 20100107 EEI (as prepared).doc)(See attached file: 20110316 Mercury Air Toxics (as prepared).docx)(See attached file: Talking Points.doc)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Saturday, September 3, 2011 10:13 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: Write-Up
Attach: OCSPP Projected Actions (DRAFT 9-3-11).doc

----- Original Message -----

From: Steve Owens

Sent: 09/03/2011 12:00 PM EDT

To: Michael Goo

Cc: Bob Perciasepe; Bob Sussman

Subject: Write-Up

Michael:

Here is my write-up from yesterday's discussion. Let me know if you have any questions or need anything else.

Steve

(See attached file: OCSPP Projected Actions (DRAFT 9-3-11).doc)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2011 5:09 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: doc

----- Forwarded by Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US on 08/30/2011 05:09 PM -----

From: cts/cts/QP/USEPA/US@EPA
To: Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 08/30/2011 04:58 PM
Subject: doc

Please open the attached document. This document was digitally sent to you using an HP Digital Sending device.(See attached file: [Untitled].pdf)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2011 9:59 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: map of CO2 emission rates

----- Original Message -----

From: Lorie Schmidt
Sent: 05/09/2011 09:54 PM EDT
To: Michael Goo
Subject: map of CO2 emission rates
(See attached file: Presentation2.pptx)

i thought you might find this interesting. i'm not sure this is the distribution i would have guessed.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 10:09 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: new draft of Arvin's paper
Attach: 020911 Toxics Narrative BIC sk.docx

----- Original Message -----

From: Shannon Kenny
Sent: 02/14/2011 05:42 PM EST
To: Michael Goo
Cc: Alex Barron

Subject: new draft of Arvin's paper

Michael - I spent another half hour on it, and I think it is in OK shape now. I'll leave a copy on your chair for your review.

With more time, we can do more with this in the future if you want.

(See attached file: 020911 Toxics Narrative BIC sk.docx)

Shannon Kenny
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202-566-2964

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 10:37 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: Fw:

----- Original Message -----

From: Michael Goo

Sent: 06/22/2011 05:55 PM EDT

To: Michael Goo <goo.michael@epa.gov>

(See attached file: COMPLEXITY.pptx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Sunday, September 4, 2011 12:35 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: groupabc
Attach: summaryofeparulesgroupabc.docx

(See attached file: summaryofeparulesgroupabc.docx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Friday, September 2, 2011 6:42 PM
To: Ex. 6 - Michael Goo
Subject: memo
Attach: summary of allagencypriority.docx

(See attached file: summary of allagencypriority.docx)

From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2011 9:07 PM
To: **Ex. 6 - Michael Goo**
Subject: Fw: Fw: Administrator Jackson's opening statement for Wednesday's hearing
Attach: Opening Statement of Administrator Jackson bic comment am arb.doc

----- Original Message -----

From: Alex Barron
Sent: 02/06/2011 08:54 PM EST
To: Bicky Corman
Cc: Alexander Cristofaro; Shannon Kenny; Al McGartland; Michael Goo
Subject: Re: Fw: Administrator Jackson's opening statement for Wednesday's hearing
Now with Alex B., Al and Bicky's edits.

(See attached file: Opening Statement of Administrator Jackson bic comment am arb.doc)

From: Al McGartland/DC/USEPA/US
To: Bicky Corman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Alex Barron" <Barron.Alex@epamail.epa.gov>, "Alexander Cristofaro" <Cristofaro.Alexander@epamail.epa.gov>, "Shannon Kenny" <Kenny.Shannon@epamail.epa.gov>, "Al McGartland" <McGartland.Al@epamail.epa.gov>
Date: 02/06/2011 07:21 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Administrator Jackson's opening statement for Wednesday's hearing

I liked the testimony a great deal. I added one comment and for convenience, I added it to the version with Bicky's edits.

[attachment "Opening Statement of Administrator Jackson bic comment am.doc" deleted by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US]

Re: Fw: Administrator Jackson's opening statement for Wednesday's hearing (Document link: Al McGartland)

Bicky Corman

to:
Michael Goo

02/06/2011 04:42 PM

Cc:

"Alex Barron", "Alexander Cristofaro", "Shannon Kenny", "Al McGartland"

my comments included. I am happy to help compile into a single OP set of comments for David.

Thanks.

[attachment "Opening Statement of Administrator Jackson bic comment .doc" deleted by Al McGartland/DC/USEPA/US]

From: Michael Goo/DC/USEPA/US

To: "Bicky Corman" <Corman.Bicky@epamail.epa.gov>, "Alex Barron" <Barron.Alex@epamail.epa.gov>, "Shannon Kenny" <Kenny.Shannon@epamail.epa.gov>, "Al McGartland" <McGartland.Al@epamail.epa.gov>, "Alexander Cristofaro" <Cristofaro.Alexander@epamail.epa.gov>

Date: 02/06/2011 04:09 PM

Subject: Fw: Administrator Jackson's opening statement for Wednesday's hearing

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: David McIntosh
Sent: 02/06/2011 03:31 PM EST
To: mccarthy.gina@epa.gov; Joseph Goffman; Lorie Schmidt; Michael Goo;
Bicky Corman; Alex Barron; Scott Fulton; Joel Beauvais
Subject: Administrator Jackson's opening statement for Wednesday's
hearing

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Thanks,
David[attachment "Opening Statement of Administrator Jackson.docx" uot;
deleted by Bicky Corman/DC/USEPA/US]

From: Michael Goo [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:19 PM
To: Jessica Holliday [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Subject: Re: does scalise know they produce nat gas in LA? not coal

Thx

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 15, 2013, at 6:16 PM, Jessica Holliday [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] wrote:

Botta bing. Good to hear your voice. Go forth and do good. :-)

On Fri, Nov 15, 2013 at 6:15 PM, Michael Goo [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] wrote:

All went into junk. Got them all. Thx

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 15, 2013, at 5:46 PM, Jessica Holliday [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] wrote:

> and do they even use coal in LA? and is using nat gas driving up price of electricity?
> especially when the entire country is using way less electricity.

>

>

From: Michael Goo [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2013 6:16 PM
To: Jessica Holliday [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Subject: Re: does scalise know they produce nat gas in LA? not coal

All went into junk. Got them all. Thx

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 15, 2013, at 5:46 PM, Jessica Holliday [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] wrote:

> and do they even use coal in LA? and is using nat gas driving up price of electricity? especially when the entire
country is using way less electricity.

>

>